RFP#_ INDIVIDUAL TECI	HNICAL EVA	LUATION WORKSHE	ЕТ	
Contractor		Date		
Evaluator				
specification requirement in descending order of	nents in accorda f importance wi		aluation criteria, which are list sing these criteria also listed in	
including: interpretint interpretive writin objectives. Evaluate and his six guiding Interpreting for the (Beck's), will also points. (a) Exhibits many what is being the of the visitor with the control of the visitor with the visitor wit	etive themes, integ, visitor involvation will be based principals of integration with the experimental experiments of the exper	rement, and its ability to its ed on Freeman Tilden's interpretation, (Tilden's). In their 15 expanded guilaide for the evaluation. The incipal #1: Any interpretation of the exhibits presented its presented in the exhibits presented in the incipal #1.	aluated for its interpretive contentiveness in interpretive design, improve and strengthen the statebook, <i>Interpreting Our</i> Heritage Larry Cable and Ted Beck's beding principles of interpretation his aspect is worth a total 3200 tion that does not some how retain the personality or experience in the proposal points will be	ed se ook n,
(vi) (vii) (b) Exhibits n Interpretation However, all i	Most met Half met Less than half None met d only one of the Exhibits include Exhibit include Score meet Tilden's pris relevation ban Interpretation in	(0%) e following bonuses if apple 1st 17 interp. objectives es all of the interp. obj. eincipal #2: Information, used upon information. But	as such, is not interpretation. they are entirely different. e exhibits presented in the	
(i) (ii) (iii) (iv)	Almost all met Most met Half met Less than half	(90 to 100%) (60 to 89%) (50 to 59%)	- award 300 points - award 150 points - award 75 points - award 25 points	

(vi) Exhibits in	(0%) f the following bonuses i clude 1 st 17 interp. objections all of the interp. ol	tives - award 75 points	S
(c) Exhibits meet Tilden' but provocation. Of the exfollowing basis:			
(ii) Most met (iii) Half met (iv) Less than I (v) None met Award only one o (vi) Exhibits in	met (90 to 100%) (60 to 89%) (50 to 59%) nalf (>0 to 49%) (0%) f the following bonuses is clude 1st 17 interp. objectives all of the interp. of	tives - award 75 points	S
(d) Exhibits meet Beck's thesis and address the whole awarded on the follows:	ole person. Of the exhibit	*	*
 (ii) Most met (iii) Half met (iv) Less than I (v) None met Award only one o (vi) Exhibits in 	f the following bonuses is clude 1 st 17 interp. object bludes all of the interp. ol	tives - award 75 points	S
	Offeror shall have submitted ll be evaluated on the principa 6) of the contract. Points shall	als set forth in Tilden's Chapt	er 8, The Written
(ii) The inform understoo	tion is concise, simple, and stration is in a language the	at will be easily tors.	- award 100
(iii) Language points	is inspiring and luring.		- award 100

points					
(v) Writing is not a text book approach.	- award 100				
points					
(vi) There are tiered levels of information	- award 100				
points					
(vii) Bonus points. Text included else where in the proposa and from examples from other jobs simexhibit the desired qualit (i) through (vi) - award 200 points	ilar in scope				
	Score				
(f) Visitor involvement. The Offeror is to include a variety of discovery techniques on the following basis:	Point shall be awarded				
Award only one of the following: (i) One method of involvement (ii) Two methods of involvement (iii) Three methods of involvement (iv) Four methods of involvement (v) Five methods of involvement (vi) Six methods of involvement (vii) Seven methods of involvement (viii) Greater than seven methods - award 25 points - award 50 points - award 100 points - award 150 points - award 200 points - award 300 points - award 300 points - award 500 points	Score				
Total Score (2) <u>Compliance with Objectives</u> The Offeror shall submit a proposal meetir objectives (twenty-four) listed in Section C.2. Evaluation criteria for scoring					
order of importance.					
This aspect is worth 1520 points					
 (a) Met first ten (10) objectives. Objective (a) through (j), as listed in Section C.2, are of the highest importance. 50 points for each objective met; 500 points possible. Score 					
a b c d e f g h i j					

Writing addresses what readers want to know.

(iv)

- award 100

	(b) Meet nex are of medium 35 points for Score	n importa each obje	ince				(g), as listed	l in Section C.	2,
	k	_ 1	_ m	_ n	o	_ p	_ q		
Score_	(c) Meet last lowest in imp 25 points for	ortance.	_	-			s listed in S	ection C.2, are	e the
	r	_ S	_ t	u	v	_ w	_ X		
	(d) First Borobjectives, (a 200 Bonus po) through oints poss	(q), are		points, i	f all of th	e top 17 (se	eventeen)	
	(e) Second B through (x), a 300 Bonus po Score	re met. oints poss		additiona	l points	if all 24 (`	r) objectives, ((a)
	Score						Tota	al	
lev	onceptual Desi el based on the is aspect is wo	e interpre	tive object	ctives, Sec					
		ations, pe criteria es	erspective stablished	views, gr d in Sectio	aphic tre n C.5. P	atments a oints wil	and AV trea	content of tments if incluively awarded	
	(i) (ii) (iii) (iv)	Good: Creati Confli	thematic g flow patte veness ct of med ulus mini	ia and	Awa Awa	ard betwe ard betwe	en 0 and 25	60 points 60 points 0 points 250 points	

(b)	Exhibits meet American with Disabilities Act.		Award 200 points		
				(all or none)	
(c)	Design.	rates Information Desk and Sal There is a clear view to the d ter's office			
(d)	Appears	to provide ease of operation a	and main	tenance.	
(e)	(i) (ii) (iii)	rd only one Significant evidence. Some evidence. No evidence. rs to provide reliability and du	Award Award	50 points 25 points 0 points	
	(i) (ii)	<u> </u>	Award	50 points 25 points 0 points Total Score	
				Total Score	
propos	al all the		s indicate	the Offeror shall include in the ed below, that are described in Section	
rate dis- of t	ed item w cretionar the design	rill be given points in two area	s: 1 st a va quiremen	d below from section C.5.c. Each alue if incorporated and 2 nd a range of at based on the evaluators appreciation as and/or Beck's principals of	
	(i) Cor	ps Identification [C.5.c.(1)]			
		- meets proposal requirement	t.	Give 200 points	
		- Exceeds proposal requirem	ent.	up to an additional 50 points	
		Score			

(ii) Visitor Center Approach [C.5.c.(2)]

- meets proposal requirement	Give 100 points		
- Exceeds proposal requirement.	-		
Score	75 points		
(iii) Information/Orientation Area [C.5.c.(3)]			
- meets proposal requirement	Give 100 points		
- Exceeds proposal requirement.	up to an additional		
Score	75 points		
(iv) Wildlife Display [C.5.c.(9)]			
- meets proposal requirement	Give 100 points		
- Exceeds proposal requirement.	_		
Score	75 points		
(v) Touch Screen Interactive Display [C.5.c.(4	1)]		
- meets proposal requirement	Give 100 points		
- Exceeds proposal requirement.	up to an additional 25 points		
Score			
(vi) Brochure Racks [C.5.c.(5)]			
- meets proposal requirement	Give 100 points		
- Exceeds proposal requirement.	up to an additional 25 points		
Score(vii) Exit Display [C.5.c.(6)]			
- meets proposal requirement	Give 50 points		
- Exceeds proposal requirement.	up to an additional		

	Score	25 po	ints
Score			Total
(5) Palayant Ex	vnoriance of the Company	The proposal shall estab	aligh that the Offerer's has
	sperience of the Company. sperience of the Company. sperience of the Company.		spect is worth a total of 500
S	a) The Offeror has provide imilar nature and scope as All or none of the 200 point	described in SECTION (· ·
-	o o 200 po		Score
a r e i c l I E	ttachment # M) for all projecture to the requirements of evaluation of past performant formation that it obtains the ontact an Offeror's former ocal government agencies; Engineers Contract Apprais	ects within the past five of this contract. The Governce on information that in the firm of the firm o	treceives from Offerors and tion. The Government may associates; Federal, state and (i.e., U.S. Army Corps of tem). Offeror's will be iveness of management, cost
			Score
c f r r i i s	nanagement and administra professional services directl	capability, expertise, exp describing projects which tive capabilities as it per y related to similar proje quired fields in the lead of	perience, equipment and the demonstrate their technical,
			Score Total Score

(6) <u>Key Personnel</u>. Personnel, including subcontractors, who are to work on this contract shall possess the necessary education or training, skills, aptitude and knowledge to successfully perform their assigned tasks. This aspect is worth a total of 100 points.

RFP# TECHNICAL EVALUATION TEAM WEIGHTED AVERAGE WORKSHEET Contractor _____ Date _____ INDIVIDUAL EVALUATORS **AVERAGE** Volume 1 EVALUATION FACTOR No. 1 No. 2 No. 3 No. 4 No. 5 No.6 **SCORE** Interpretive Approach Compliance with Objectives Conceptual Design Meeting the Specifics To be incorporated Relevant Experience of the Company

(a) A Personnel list is provided with their resume which demonstrate their level of competency necessary to successfully complete the work defined in SECTION

Total Score _____

C, SPECIFICATIONS.

Key Personnel

Give 100 points if list and resume is provided.

TOTAL SCORE

RFP #_____ TECHNICAL EVALUATION FINAL SCORES

CONTRACTOR

RFP# INDIVIDUAL TECHNICAL EV	ALUATION COMMENTS	
CONTRACTOR	EVALUATOR	